arXiv:1404.4746v1 [hep-th] 18 Apr 2014

Pseudo Hermitian interactions in the Dirac equation

O. Panella[1] and P. Roy[2]

[1]*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Perugia,*

*Via A. Pascoli, I-06123 Perugia, Italy**

[2]*Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit*

Indian Statistical Institute

Kolkata, India

Abstract

We consider $(2+1)$ dimensional massless Dirac equation in the presence of complex vector potentials. It is shown that such vector potentials (leading to complex magnetic fields) can produce bound states and the Dirac Hamiltonians are η-pseudo Hermitian. Some examples have been explicitly worked out.

*Electronic address: orlando.panella@pg.infn.it; Phone: +39 075 585 2762; Fax: +39 075 44666. (**Corresponding Author**).

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years massless Dirac equation in $(2+1)$ dimensions have drawn a lot of attention primarily because of its similarity to the equation governing the motion of charge carriers in graphene [1, 2]. In view of the fact that electrostatic fields alone can not provide confinement of the electrons, there have been quite a number of works on exact solutions of the relevant Dirac equation with different magnetic field configurations, for example, square well magnetic barrier [3], non zero magnetic fields in dots [4], decaying magnetic fields [5], solvable magnetic field configurations [6] etc. On the other hand, at the same time there have been some investigations into the possible role of non Hermiticity and $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry [7] in graphene [8–10], optical analogues of relativistic quantum mechanics [11] and relativistic non Hermitian quantum mechanics [12], photonic honeycomb lattice [13] etc. Also $(2+1)$ dimensional Dirac equation with non Hermitian Rashba and scalar interaction was studied [18]. Here our objective is to widen the scope of incorporating non Hermitian interactions in $(2+1)$ dimensional Dirac equation. We shall introduce non $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric but non Hermitian interactions by using imaginary vector potentials. It may be noted that imaginary vector potentials have been studied previously in connection with the localization/delocalization problem [14, 15] as well as $\mathcal{PT}$ phase transition in higher dimensions [16]. To be more specific, we shall consider η-pseudo Hermitian interactions [17] within the framework of $(2+1)$ dimensional massless Dirac equation. In particular, we shall examine exact bound state solutions in the presence of imaginary magnetic fields arising out of imaginary vector potentials. We shall also obtain the metric and it will be shown that the Dirac Hamiltonians are η-pseudo Hermitian.

II. THE MODEL

The motion of electrons in graphene is governed by an equation similar to the $(2+1)$ dimensional massless Dirac equation and is given by

$$H\psi = E\psi, \qquad H = c\boldsymbol{\sigma}.\boldsymbol{P} = c\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_- \\ P_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where

$$P_\pm = (P_x \pm iP_y) = (p_x + A_x) \pm i(p_y + A_y) \tag{2}$$

In order to solve Eq.(1) it is necessary to decouple the spinor components. Applying the operator H from the left in Eq.(1) we find

$$c^2 \begin{pmatrix} P_- P_+ & 0 \\ 0 & P_+ P_- \end{pmatrix} \psi = E^2 \psi \tag{3}$$

Let us now consider the vector potential to be

$$A_x = 0, \quad A_y = f(x) \tag{4}$$

so that the magnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_z(x) = f'(x) \tag{5}$$

For the above choice of vector potentials the component wave functions can be taken of the form

$$\psi_{1,2}(x, y) = e^{ik_y y} \phi_{1,2}(x) \tag{6}$$

Then from (3) the equations for the components are found to be (in unit of $\hbar = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2(x) + W'(x) \right] \phi_1(x) &= \epsilon^2 \phi_1(x) \\ \left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + W^2(x) - W'(x) \right] \phi_2(x) &= \epsilon^2 \phi_2(x) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon = (E/c)$ and the function $W(x)$ is given by

$$W(x) = k_y + f(x) \tag{8}$$

A. Complex Decaying Magnetic Field

It is now necessary to choose the function $f(x)$. Our first choice for this function is

$$f(x) = -(A + iB)\ e^{-x}, \tag{9}$$

where $A > 0$ and B are constants. It leads to a complex exponentially decaying magnetic field

$$\mathcal{B}_z(x) = (A + iB) e^{-x} \tag{10}$$

For $B = 0$ or a purely imaginary number (such that $(A + iB) > 0$) the magnetic field is an exponentially decreasing one and we recover the case considered in ref [5, 6].

Now from the second of Eq.(7) we obtain

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+V_2(x)\right]\phi_1=\left(\epsilon^2-k_y^2\right)\phi_1 \tag{11}$$

where

$$V_2(x)=k_y^2+(A+iB)^2\ e^{-2x}-(2k_y+1)(A+iB)\ e^{-x} \tag{12}$$

It is not difficult to recognize $V_2(x)$ in Eq.(21) as the complex analogue of the Morse potential whose solutions are well known [19, 20]. Using these results we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,n}&=\pm c\sqrt{k_y^2-(k_y-n)^2}\\ \phi_{2,n}&=t^{k_y-n}e^{-t/2}L_n^{(2k_y-2n)}(t),\qquad n=0,1,2,....<[k_y] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $t=2(A+iB)e^{-x}$ and $L_n^{(a)}(t)$ denotes generalized Laguerre polynomials. The first point to note here is that for the energy levels to be real it follows from (13) that the corresponding eigenfunctions are acceptable when the condition $k_y\geq 0$ holds. For $k_y<0$, the wave functions are not normalizable i.e, no bound states are possible.

Let us now examine the spin up component ϕ_1. Since ϕ_2 is known one can always use the intertwining relation

$$cP_-\psi_2=E\psi_1 \tag{14}$$

to obtain ϕ_1. Nevertheless, for the sake completeness we present the explicit results for ϕ_1. In this case the potential analogous to (21) reads

$$V_1(x)=k_y^2+(A+iB)^2\ e^{-2x}-(2k_y-1)(A+iB)\ e^{-x} \tag{15}$$

Clearly, $V_1(x)$ can be obtained from $V_2(x)$ by the replacement $k_y\to k_y-1$ and so the solutions can be obtained from (13) as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,n}&=\pm c\sqrt{k_y^2-(k_y-n-1)^2}\\ \phi_{1,n}&=t^{k_y-n-1}e^{-t/2}L_n^{(2k_y-2n-2)}(t),\qquad n=1,2,....<[k_y-1] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Note that the $n=0$ state is missing from the spectrum (16) so that it is a spin down singlet state. Furthermore, $E_{2,n+1}=E_{1,n}$ so that the ground state is a singlet while the excited are doubly degenerate. Similarly the negative energy states are also paired. The precise structure of the wave functions are as follows (we present only the positive energy solutions):

$$\begin{aligned} &E_0=0,\quad \psi_0=\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \\ \phi_{2,0}\end{pmatrix}\\ &E_{n+1}=c\sqrt{k_y^2-(k_y-n-1)^2},\quad \psi_{n+1}=\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{1,n}\\ \\ \phi_{2,n+1}\end{pmatrix},\quad n=0,1,2,\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

It is interesting to note that the spectrum does not depend on the magnetic field. Also the dispersion relation is no longer linear as it should be in the presence of interactions. So, we find that it is indeed possible to create bound states with an imaginary vector potential. We shall now demonstrate the above results for a second example.

B. Complex Hyperbolic Magnetic Field

Here we choose $f(x)$ which leads to an effective potential of the complex hyperbolic Rosen-Morse type :

$$f(x) = A \tanh(x - i\alpha), \quad A \text{ and } \alpha \text{ are real constants} \tag{18}$$

In this case the complex magnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_z(x) = A \operatorname{sech}^2(x - i\alpha) \tag{19}$$

Note that for $\alpha = 0$ we get back the results of refs [6, 22]. Using (18) in second of Eq.(7) we find

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + U_2(x)\right] \phi_1 = (\epsilon^2 - k_y^2 - A^2)\phi_1 \tag{20}$$

where

$$U_2(x) = k_y^2 - A(A+1) \operatorname{sech}^2(x - i\alpha) + 2Ak_y \tanh(x - i\alpha) \tag{21}$$

This is the Hyperbolic Rosen-Morse potential with known energy values and eigenfunctions. In the present case the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions are given by [20, 21]

$$E_{2,n} = \pm c\sqrt{A^2 + k_y^2 - (A-n)^2 - \frac{A^2k_y^2}{(A-n)^2}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ... < [A - \sqrt{Ak_y}]$$

$$\phi_{2,n} = (1-t)^{s_1/2}(1+t)^{s_2/2} P_n^{(s_1,s_2)}(t) \tag{22}$$

where $P_n^{(a,b)}(z)$ denotes Jacobi polynomials and

$$t = \tanh x, \quad s_{1,2} = A - n \pm \frac{Ak_y}{A-n} \tag{23}$$

The energy values corresponding to the upper component of the spinor can be found out by replacing A by $(A-1)$ and ϕ_1 can be found out using the relation (14).

III. η-PSEUDO HERMITICITY

It may be recalled that $\mathcal{PT}$ stands for parity inversion ($\mathcal{P}$) and time inversion ($\mathcal{T}$) and their actions are defined by [7]

$$\mathcal{P}x\mathcal{P} = -x, \quad \mathcal{P}p\mathcal{P} = -p$$
$$\mathcal{T}x\mathcal{T} = x, \quad \mathcal{T}p\mathcal{T} = -p, \quad \mathcal{T}i\mathcal{T} = -i \tag{24}$$

So, a one dimensional non Hermitian Hamiltonian $H \neq H^\dagger$ is $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric if

$$H\mathcal{PT} = \mathcal{PT}H \tag{25}$$

This last relation leads to the condition

$$V(x) = V^*(-x) \tag{26}$$

It is easily seen that neither of the potentials $V_{1,2}(x)$ or $U_{1,2}$ above satisfy the condition (26) and therefore, are not $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric. Nevertheless they admit real eigenvalues. To explain this feature, let us recall that a Hamiltonian is η-pseudo Hermitian if [17]

$$\eta H \eta^{-1} = H^\dagger \tag{27}$$

where η is a Hermitian operator. It is known that eigenvalues of a η-pseudo Hermitian Hamiltonian are either all real or are complex conjugate pairs [17]. In view of the fact that in the present examples the eigenvalues are all real, one is tempted to conclude that the interactions are η pseudo Hermitian. To this end we first consider case 1 and following ref [23], let us consider the Hermitian operator

$$\eta = e^{-\theta p_x}, \quad \theta = \arctan\frac{B}{A} \tag{28}$$

Then it follows that

$$\eta c \eta^{-1} = c, \quad \eta p_x \eta^{-1} = p_x, \quad \eta V(x) \eta^{-1} = V(x + i\theta) \tag{29}$$

We recall that in both the cases considered here the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H = c\boldsymbol{\sigma}.\boldsymbol{P} = c\begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_- \\ P_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

where for the first example

$$P_\pm = p_x \pm ip_y \pm i(A + iB)e^{-x} \tag{31}$$

Then

$$H^\dagger = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_+^\dagger \\ P_-^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{32}$$

Now from Eq.(31) it follows that

$$P_+^\dagger = p_x - ip_y - i(A - iB)e^{-x}, \quad P_-^\dagger = p_x + ip_y + i(A - iB)e^{-x} \tag{33}$$

and using Eq.(29) it can be shown that

$$\eta P_+ \eta^{-1} = p_x + ip_y + i(A - iB)e^{-x} = P_-^\dagger, \quad \eta P_- \eta^{-1} = p_x - ip_y - i(A - iB)e^{-x} = P_+^\dagger \tag{34}$$

Next to demonstrate pseudo Hermiticity of the Dirac Hamiltonian (30), let us consider the operator $\eta' = \eta.\mathcal{I}_2$ where $\mathcal{I}_2$ is the (2×2) unit matrix. Then it can be shown that

$$\eta' H \eta'^{-1} = H^\dagger \tag{35}$$

Thus the Dirac Hamiltonian with a complex decaying magnetic field (10) is η-pseudo Hermitian.

For the magnetic field given by (19) the metric operator η can be found by using the relations (29). After a straightforward calculation it can be shown that the metric operator is given by

$$\eta = e^{-2\alpha p_x} \tag{36}$$

so that in this second example also the Dirac Hamiltonian is η-pseudo Hermitian.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Here we have studied $(2+1)$ dimensional massless Dirac equation [1] in the presence of complex magnetic fields and it has been shown that such magnetic fields can create bound states. It has also been shown that Dirac Hamiltonians in the presence of such magnetic fields are η-pseudo Hermitian. We feel it would be of interest to study generation of bound states using other types of magnetic fields e.g, periodic magnetic fields.

[1] We note that if a massive particle of mass m is considered, the energy spectrum in the first example would become $E_n = c\sqrt{k_y^2 + m^2c^2 - (k_y - n)^2}$. Similar changes will occur in the second example too.

Acknowledgments

One of us (P. R.) wishes to thank INFN Sezione di Perugia for supporting a visit during which part of this work was carried out. He would also like to thank the Physics Department of the University of Perugia for hospitality.

---

[1] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Science **306** (2004) 666.

[2] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Nature **438** (2005) 197.

[3] A. De Martino, L. Dell'Anna, and R. Egger, Phys. Rev. Lett **98** (2007) 066802
A. De Martino, Solid State Comm **144** (2007) 547
L. Dell'Anna and A. De Martino, Phys. Rev **B79** (2009) 045420.

[4] D. Wang and G. Jin, Phys. Lett **A373** (2009) 4082.

[5] T.K. Ghosh, J.Phys:Condens Matter **21** (2009) 045505.

[6] S. Kuru, J. M. Negro and L. M. Nieto, J. Phys: Condens. Matter **21**, 455305 (2009).

[7] C.M. Bender an d S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett **80** (1998) 5243.

[8] M. Fagotti, C. Bonati, D. Logoteta, P. Marconcini and M. Macucci, Phys.Rev **B83** (2011) 241406.

[9] A. Szameit, M.C. Rechtsman, O. Bahat-Treidel and M. Segev, Phys.Rev **A84** (2011) 021806(R).

[10] K. Esaki, M. Sato, K. Hasebe and M. Kohmoto, Phys.Rev **B84** (2011) 205128 (19pp).

[11] S. Longhi, App.Phys.Lett **B104** (2011) 453.

[12] S. Longhi, Phys.Rev.Lett **105** (2010) 013903 (4pp).

[13] H. Ramezani, T. Kottos, V. Kovanis and D.N. Christodoulides, Phys.Rev **A85** (2012) 013818.

[14] N. Hatano and D. Nelson, Phys.Rev.Lett, **77** (1996) 570.

[15] J. Feinberg and A. Zee, Phys.Rev, **E59** (1999) 6433.

[16] B. P. Mandal et al, Phys.Lett, **A377** (2013) 1043.

[17] A. Mostafazadeh, J.Math.Phys, **A43** (2002) 1418246.

[18] B.P. Mandal and S. Gupta, Mod.Phys.Lett **A25** (2010) 1723.

[19] S. Flugge, Practical Quantum Mechanics, Springer, (1994)

[20] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics, World Scientific, (2002).

[21] N. Rosen and P.M. Morse, Phys.Rev **42** (1932) 210.

[22] E. Milpas, M. Torres and G. Murguia, J.Phys:Condens Matter **23** (2011) 245304.

[23] Z. Ahmed, Phys.Lett **A290** (2001) 19.